

Received & Inspected

JAN 09 2017

FCC Mail Room

Lisa Cline

420 Upshire Circle

Gaithersburg, MD 20878

301-978-9789

lisajeane@aol.com

Jan. 3, 2017

WT Docket No. 16-421
COMMENT SOUGHT ON STREAMLINING DEPLOYMENT OF
SMALL CELL INFRASTRUCTURE
MOBILITIE, LLC PETITION FOR DECLARATORY RULING

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Ms. Dortch,

I am not against wireless internet – but I am against ugly installations of technology that is being deployed. I am also against using wireless internet in-place-of Fiber optic internet. Most importantly, I'm against stripping citizens of their rights to participate in the types and placement of these structures.

Please consider that the deployment of wireless cell towers is only as a stepping stone to fiber-to-the home as is noted by RS Fiber in Minnesota. They will remove the cell towers after fiber has been laid:
<https://ilsr.org/report-mn-rural-fiber/> In communities like mine where the utilities reside underground, cell towers and DAS equipment will drastically change the landscape...and our long-term health.

First and foremost, new studies into the health effects of radiation must be pursued by the FCC. Current studies are over 20 years old, conducted on 200-pound male adults (not children) and test only for heating of skin tissue (not biological effects such as DNA and neurological damage).

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

The FCC MUST respond to the 220 scientists from 41 nations who have signed an international appeal, first submitted to the United Nations and to the World Health Organization in May 2015 saying: "Numerous recent scientific publications have shown that EMF affects living organisms at levels well below most international and national guidelines. Effects include increased cancer risk, cellular stress, increase in harmful free radicals, genetic damages, structural and functional changes of the reproductive system, learning and memory deficits, neurological disorders, and negative impacts on general well-being in humans. Damage goes well beyond the human race, as there is growing evidence of harmful effects to both plant and animal life."

Where is The Precautionary Principal in all of the FCC's documentation?

One tremendous public objection is that the FCC lacks health expertise and is highly influenced by the wireless industries that it is supposed to regulate. It is a clear conflict of interest. We expect more from our government.

In fact, 5G may be faster than 4G Internet, but it is not faster than fiber. If 5G is not fast enough for our needs, what is the point of putting one cell tower for every 12 homes and within 30 feet of some homes?

I encourage the FCC to push pause, consult non-telecom industry experts and create a big-picture plan that works for all, is safe, and fosters economic opportunity at the same time.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Lisa Cline". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Lisa" and last name "Cline" clearly distinguishable.

Lisa Cline